

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/684,174	10/06/2000	Mark Morelli	00-623	1693	
75	04/08/2005		EXAM	INER	
Bachman & Lapointe P C			NGUYEN	NGUYEN, HUY D	
Suite 1201 900 Chapel Street			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
New Haven, CT 06510-2802			2681		
			DATE MAILED: 04/08/2004	DATE MAILED: 04/08/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary		09/684,174	MORELLI ET AL.	
		Examiner	Art Unit	
	·	Huy D Nguyen	2681	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	
A SH THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl' o period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period or re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status				
2a)□	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>24 Ja</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allowal closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final.		
Dispositi	on of Claims			
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-19 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-19 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration.		
Applicati	ion Papers			
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to by the liderawing(s) be held in abeyance. See tion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). lected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureausee the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage	
2) Notic 3) Inforr	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:		

Art Unit: 2681

DETAILED ACTION

1. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-19 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ausems et al. (US 6,434,403 B1) in view of Pope (US 5,963,624).

Regarding claims 1-2, 6, 13, 15-17, Ausems et al. teaches a PDA telephone (e.g., PDA telephone 100) configured to remotely control appliances, heating, air conditioning system...using either wireless telephone engine 210 or short range transceiver 265 (see column 9, lines 1-9). Ausems et al. does not clearly teach communicating a structural appliance with a server programmed to accept mobile device commands; communicating a mobile device with server; issuing mobile device commands from mobile device to server; converting mobile device commands to structural appliance commands; and issuing structural appliance commands from server to structural appliance. In the same field of endeavor, the preceding limitations are taught in Pope (see Figs. 1 and 5; see column 2, lines 45-60, column 5, lines 14-33). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to apply the teaching of

Art Unit: 2681

Pope to the teaching of Ausems et al. to allow control information to be multiplexed with the voice data and to reduce transmission error.

Regarding claims 3-4, the combination of Ausems et al. and Pope teaches the method according to claim 2, further comprising the steps of: storing structural appliance information at one of said structural appliance, said gateway and said server; and transmitting said structural appliance information from said server to said mobile device (see column 4, lines 55-57).

Regarding claim 5, Ausems et al. discloses the method according to claim 3, wherein structural appliance information comprises at least one type of information selected from the group consisting of diagnostic information, maintenance information, operating parameters, environmental information and combinations thereof (see Ausems et al.: Col. 9, line 15).

Regarding claim 7, Ausems et al. discloses that mobile device is a web-enabled device (see Ausems et al.: Col. 1, line 26).

Regarding claims 8, 11, since PDA telephone 100 is a wireless device, it is inherent that it uses wireless application protocol.

Regarding claims 9-10, the examiner takes official notice that global satellite network and global computer network are well known in the art. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time of the invention, to use global satellite network and global computer network to extend the range of operation.

Regarding claim 12, Ausems et al. discloses display 145 for displaying information to user (see Ausems et al.: Col. 4, lines 21-22).

Art Unit: 2681

Regarding claim 14, the combination of Ausems et al. and Pope teaches the method according to claim 13, wherein said server is a wireless-accessible server (see Pope: Fig. 1; column 5, lines 21-22).

Regarding claim 18, Ausems et al. discloses the claimed invention except that the mobile device is operated by an energy provider. It would have been an obvious matter of design choice to have the mobile device being operated by an energy provider or any provider, since it does not solves any problem or is for any particular purpose and it appears that the invention would perform equally well with the mobile device operated by any provider.

Regarding claim 19, it is inherent that to control the appliances using the PDA 100, a selection of appliances is present on the PDA.

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Huy D Nguyen whose telephone number is 703-305-3283. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Emmanuel Moise can be reached on 703-306-0003. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 2681

Page 5

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

W

Huy Nguyen 4/01/2005

EMMANUEL L. MOIDE PRIMARY EXAMINED